

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22359-2015

Date of Decision: January 12, 2016

Ashok Kumar Kukreja

.....Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Briz Mohan, Advocate, for
Mr. Abhinav Oberoi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Virk, APP
for U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Vicky Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr. Sapan Dhir, Advocate,
for the respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

.....

***1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be
allowed to see the judgment?***

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

***3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the
Digest?***

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(Oral)

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioner submits that

he has instructions to pray for withdrawal of the present petition at this stage.

Learned counsel for the State as well as learned proxy counsel for respondent Nos. 2 and 3, have no objection to the above prayer.

Ordered accordingly.

January 12, 2016
apurva

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE