

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO No. 2140 of 1999

Date of Decision: 02.03.2016

Kailash Rani

.....Appellant

Vs.

Baleshwar Kumar and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

After the file of this appeal is stated to have been burnt in the fire of January 2011, fresh notices were ordered to be issued to the respondents on 20.03.2014 and upon service not being effected, the same order was repeated on 19.12.2014. On 24.02.2015, as per the order recorded, the report received back was that respondents No. 1 and 2 are residing at Jalandhar, the name of respondent No. 3, i.e. the Insurance company with which the vehicle was insured, was not given in the memo of parties and respondents No. 4 and 5 had sold their house.

Learned counsel for the appellant was directed to furnish the correct addresses of the respondents, which order was repeated on 08.05.2015 in presence of the learned counsel and thereafter, again on 04.02.2016, when counsel was not present.

The report of the Registry is that counsel for the appellant has still not furnished the correct address of the respondents.

In view of the above, this Court has no option but to dismiss the appeal, which is accordingly ordered.

A copy of this order be sent to the appellant.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

March 02, 2016
nitin