

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-23235 of 2016(O&M)
Date of decision: 22.12.2016**

Malkit Singh and another

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Sukhtej Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Ravinder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S.Bhullar, AAG, Punjab

Mr.S.S.Salar, Advocate,
for respondent no.2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (Oral)

The instant petition is for quashing of cross case registered vide DDR entry No.33, dated 19.04.2016 in FIR No.19, dated 19.04.2016, under Sections 323, 498A and 34 IPC, Police Station Sadar Budhlada, District Mansa and all the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of written compromise arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from the trial Court after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. The trial Court has reported that the parties have entered into compromise voluntarily, without any pressure and coercion. The trial court has also sent original statements of parties.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioners are the only accused and respondent no.2 is the only aggrieved person in this DDR

No useful purpose would be served to keep the DDR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal), 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid DDR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed qua the petitioners.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

December 22, 2016
nt

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No