

207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23211 of 2016

Date of decision: October 04, 2016

Sushila

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the interim order dated 13.07.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In FIR No.333 dated 19.06.2016, under Sections 307, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25, 54, 59 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Samalkha, District Panipat, petitioner was granted ad-interim anticipatory bail vide order dated 13.07.2016 by this Court.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Yogender Singh, makes a statement that the petitioner has joined investigation and the custody is not required.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 13.07.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

October 04, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No