

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.23185 of 2016
Date of Decision : 13.07.2016**

Sinder Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. G.S. Nahel, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has sought direction to the official respondent No.3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.5 to 7 and for direction to respondent No.4 not to harass them at the hands of said private respondents.

connection they have also moved a representation/complaint dated 04.07.2016 (Annexure P-1) and at this stage they would be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.3 to look into the matter and take appropriate action on his representation within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. The respondent No.3- the SSP, Sangrur is directed to look into the matter and in case it is found that any action is required, to take whatever action he deems necessary as per law.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 13, 2016
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE