

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 23145 of 2016
Date of decision : 6.9.2016

Rajesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CRM-M No. 22837 of 2016

Ravinder Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

v.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present : Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner
(in CRM-M No. 23145 of 2016) and
for the private respondents in CRM-M No.22837 of 2016)

Mr. Manoj Kumar Pundir, Advocate, for the private respondents
(in CRM-M No. 23145 of 2016) and
for the petitioners in CRM-M No. 22837 of 2016)

Mr. J.S. Riar, AAG, Punjab

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of two petitions bearing CRM-M No. 22837 of 2016 and CRM No. 23145 of 2016 as it is case of version and cross version. The facts are being taken from CRM-M No. 23145 of 2016 to dictate the order.

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 70 dated 23.5.2014, under Sections 452, 354, 323 and 201 (added

lateron) IPC, registered at Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) on the basis of compromise dated 11.6.2016 (Annexures P-2) and affidavit of Ravinder Kumar dated 1.7.2016 (Annexure P-3).

Brief facts of the case are that the petitioners and the private respondents are the residents of District Sangrur and because of some dispute between them, they have caused injuries to each other, which resulted into the registration of the present FIR and also filing of the cross case.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter has now been amicably settled between the parties with the intervention of friends, elders and respectable of the area, vide compromise deed dated 11.6.2016 (Annexure P-2) and affidavit of Ravinder Kumar dated 1.7.2016 (Annexure P-3).

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court was directed to record the statements of all the concerned parties, with regard to the genuineness and validity or otherwise of the compromise deed by way of order dated 14.7.2016 by this Court.

In compliance of order dated 14.7.2016, learned learned trial Court has submitted the report vide letter dated 2.9.2016, which indicates that parties appeared before it and got recorded their respective statements with regard to validity of compromise. As per the report, compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure or coercion from any corner. Now no dispute is pending between the parties.

Consequently, in view of above said report and in view of the judgments of the Hon'ble Suprpeme Court in **Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. v. State of Madhya Pradesh and another**, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910; **“Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab**, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429”, and the

law laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in the case of **“Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052”**, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 70 dated 23.5.2014, under Sections 452, 354, 323 and 201 (added lateron) IPC, registered at Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) and the cross case bearing DDR No. 28 dated 19.5.2014 registered under Sections 452, 201, 341, 323 and 34 IPC are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise dated 11.6.2016 (Annexures P-2) and affidavit of Ravinder Kumar dated 1.7.2016 (Annexure P-3) and all the criminal proceedings arising out of the said FIR also stands quashed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

6.9.2016
Ashwani

Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Reportable	Yes/No