

221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23126 of 2016

Date of decision: August 01, 2016

Parshant Pandey @ Sannu Pandey

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. M.K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG Haryana.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the FIR
in question.

Petitioner belongs to Uttar Pradesh. Allegations are serious
and about robbery in which ultimately, one Toyota Qualis car was seized.

Offence in question being serious, prayer for grant of
anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is declined.

Petition stands dismissed.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

August 01, 2016
mahavir