

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(299)

CRM-M-23125-2016

Date of Decision:-15.09.2016

Harvinder Kumar @ Mintu

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Pursuant to the interim order dated July 13, 2016 made by this Court granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.76 dated 25.04.2016, registered under Sections 306 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Division No.8 District Jalandhar, learned Counsel for the State fairly states that the petitioner has joined the investigation. The other accused have been absconding and, therefore, the relief of anticipatory bail should not be granted.

In my opinion, the police functionaries are at liberty to arrest the other accused persons, but since then the petitioner has joined the investigation, there is no need for any custody of the petitioner. In the result interim order dated July 13, 2016 is made absolute.

Disposed of.

September 15, 2016

Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No