IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 23098 of 2016
Date of decision : July 27, 2016

Amit

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

***

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

***

Present : Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. AG Haryana

***

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.242, dated 18.10.2015, registered under Sections 302/201 of the IPC, at Police Station Julana, District Jind.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner has been in custody since 23.10.2015 and charge has been framed in the case. It was a case of blind murder and the police have arrested the petitioner and the co-accused and as against the present petitioner the only

KISHAN KUMAR
2016.07.28 10:03
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court Chandigarh

evidence is the statement which the co-accused suffered in police custody. Apart from this, there is no evidence which may link the petitioner with the crime.

Learned Addl. AG Haryana on instructions from SI Balwan Singh states that apart from the evidence of the co-accused, there is recovery of a danda on the disclosure statement of the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner has then argued that the danda which was allegedly recovered was not used in the commission of the offence.

In these circumstances, without commenting upon the merits of the case, and keeping in view the period of custody already suffered by the petitioner, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

July 27, 2016
`kk'

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No

KISHAN KUMAR
2016.07.28 10:03
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court Chandigarh