

CRM-M-23020 of 2016

DATE OF DECISION: 12.7.2016

SIMRANJIT SINGH @ SEMA

.. Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

.... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARIPresent: Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Pawan Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.83 dated 27.6.2014 registered at PS, Bhogpur, Jalandhar under Sections 323, 452 IPC wherein challan is presented under Sections 452, 323, 325 IPC and all other consequential proceedings taken therein, on the basis of compromise arrived at the terms which is filed as Annexure P2.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the complainant has no objection regarding compromise in terms of Annexure P2. Looking to the offence under Section 452, 323, 325, I think the rival parties should be allowed to compound/compromise in terms of the compromise deed and make the following order:-

ORDER

Disposed of in terms of the compromise deed. FIR No.83

dated 27.6.2014 registered at PS, Bhogpur, Jalandhar under Sections 323, 452 IPC wherein challan is presented under Sections 452, 323, 325 IPC and all other consequential proceedings taken therein, is quashed.

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

July 12, 2016
SwarnjitS