

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23015 of 2016.
Decided on:-12.07.2016.

Shunti Kumar @ Shunti.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Kamaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC is for issuance of a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.4 to 10.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that on account of business rivalry (as the petitioner is having liquor business), respondents No.4 to 10 are extending threat to the petitioner. The petitioner has already moved a representation dated 28.5.2016 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib, but no action thereon has been taken so far.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to hand over requisite number of complete copies of the paper book to learned State counsel during course of the day.

Heard.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the contents of representation (Annexure P-5) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

July 12, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE