

**260 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CRM-M No.23011 of 2016
Date of decision: August 24, 2016

Kuldeep Kumar and othersPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

2. CRM-M No.23016 of 2016

Pamma and others ...Petitioners

Versus

Sate of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Avtar S. Khinda, Advocate
for the petitioners (*in CRM-M-23011-2016*).
for respondents No.2 to 5 (*in CRM-M-23016-2016*).

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Shiv Charan Bhola, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3 (*in CRM-M-23011-2016*)
for the petitioners (*in CRM-M-23016-2016*).

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By this common order, this Court intends to dispose of two petitions i.e. CRM-M No.23011 of 2016 filed by Kuldeep Kumar and others and CRM-M-23016 of 2016 filed by Pamma and others.

2. CRM-M No.23011 of 2016 has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of cross case registered vide DDR No.005 dated 13.02.2016, under Sections 452, 324, 323, 34 of Indian Penal Code in FIR No.39 dated 13.02.2016 under Sections 307,

324, 323, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station City Sangrur, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 17.06.2016 (Annexure P-3).

3. CRM-M No.23016 of 2016 has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.39 dated 13.02.2016, under Sections 307, 324, 323, 148 and 149 IPC registered at Police Station City Sangrur, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

4. Separate reports of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate have been received, wherein, it has been categorically observed that the statements of both the parties are genuine and not the result of any pressure or coercion in any manner. Compromise entered into between the parties is valid. Even otherwise, matter involved in these proceedings is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest.

5. So, in view of circumstances narrated above as well as the observations made in cases reported as ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another; 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543***, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for the benefit of the parties and would certainly bring peace and harmony between them.

6. Consequently, both the petitions are allowed, cross case registered vide DDR No.005 dated 13.02.2016, under Sections 452, 324, 323, 34 of Indian Penal Code in FIR No.39 dated 13.02.2016 under Sections 307, 324, 323, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station

City Sangrur, District Sangrur including FIR No.39 dated 13.02.2016, under Sections 307, 324, 323, 148 and 149 IPC registered at Police Station City Sangrur, District Sangrur and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioners.

August 24, 2016
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No