

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

249

**Criminal Misc. No.M-2301 of 2016
Date of decision: 01st April, 2016**

Satnam Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 21.01.2016, the petitioner has joined investigation and the same has been affirmed by learned counsel for respondent-State, on instructions from ASI Gurmukh Singh.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, interim order dated 21.01.2016 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

April 01, 2016

sonia gugnani