

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22989 of 2016.
Decided on:-12.07.2016.

Gurdev Chand.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Jashandeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC is for issuance of a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life, liberty and property of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.4 to 9.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he does not wish to seek registration of the case against respondents No.4 to 9. However, he states that there is threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner and for the relief claimed in the present petition, the petitioner has already moved a representation dated 30.5.2016 (Annexure P-1) to respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to hand over requisite number of complete copies of the paper book to learned State counsel during course of the day.

Heard.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the contents of representation (Annexure P-1) and to deal with the same in accordance with law.

July 12, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE