

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-2292 of 2016

Date of Decision: February 12, 2016

Jai Parkash

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Vide impugned order dated 24.08.2015 (Annexure P-4) passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Ferozepur, had set aside the the summoning order dated 03.12.2015 (Annexure P-5) passed by learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Guruharshai and directed the lower court to record the preliminary evidence on the point of summoning. Thereafter, no order has been passed by the lower court reconsidering the preliminary evidence on the point of summoning.

In view of the matter, the present petition is pre-mature and is disposed of with the observations that for the purpose of considering the preliminary evidence, the accused is not required to be summoned. However, whenever the lower court considers the preliminary evidence and passes any adverse order against the present petitioner, he can again approach this court.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 12, 2016

sarita