

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No. M-22908 of 2016
Date of Decision: 15.07.2016

Pawan @ Pujari

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.444 dated 26.5.2014 under Sections 323/379/147/148/506/427 IPC registered at Police Station Sector 5, District Gurgaon.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though the petitioner was admitted on bail by learned trial Court, but since he could

not appear before the trial Court on 16.10.2015, his bail was cancelled and he is in custody since 5.2.2016.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajesh Kumar, submits that the petitioner has absented on several occasions and he was declared as proclaimed offender and therefore, he does not deserve the concession of regular bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

There is no dispute that the petitioner was earlier admitted on bail and it is on account of his being absent in Court on 16.10.2015, his bail was cancelled. Therefore, considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 5.2.2016 and the trial will take sufficiently long time, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to furnishing of his bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Gurgaon.

It is made clear that expression made hereinabove shall not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case.

July 15, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE