

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-22890 of 2016
Date of decision: 07.09.2016**

Manpreet Singh @ Manni

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Ms. Alisha Soni, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Riar, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Damanpreet Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 193 dated 13.11.2014, under Sections 363/366-A IPC, registered at Police Station, Sadar Khanna, Police District Khanna (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise dated 10.02.2016 (Annexure P-2).

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of statement made by Parkash-respondent No.2 to the effect that he has two daughters namely Hero (aged about 16 years) and Pooja (aged about 12 years). On 11.11.2014, his elder daughter-Hero left home for selling the stickers, but she did not come back home. On the the next day, when he was searching her out, one boy namely, Manpreet Singh met him and told that Manpreet Singh @ Manni (petitioner) had enticed her away. In this background, the

FIR was registered.

During the pendency of the trial, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has now been amicably resolved between respondent No.2-complainant and the petitioner vide compromise deed dated 10.02.2016 (Annexure P-2).

In compliance with the order dated 12.07.2016 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, has been received in this regard. As per report, Parkash-respondent No.2 (complainant) made his statement on 12.08.2016 to the effect that his daughter namely Hero, had solemnized marriage with accused-Manpreet Singh on 12.11.2014 against his wishes. Due to this reason, he had got registered the present FIR. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, he has compromised the matter with Manpreet Singh-petitioner vide compromise deed Ex.PA. His daughter is residing happily with her husband-petitioner in her matrimonial house. She has one male child out of this marriage. He has no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. Statement of Manpreet Singh @ Manni-petitioner was also recorded to the same effect. Hero, daughter of the complainant, also made a statement by admitting the factum of compromise. In view of separate statements given by the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (CrL.) 1052**, this Court is of the view

that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 193 dated 13.11.2014, under Sections 363/366-A IPC, registered at Police Station, Sadar Khanna, Police District Khanna, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

07.09.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No