

CRM-M-22842-2016

Date of Decision : 15.09.2016

Ranjit Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. Shiv Narayan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

Petitioner had connived with a lady Preet Kaur who had trapped the complainant Virender in her sweet talks and she got involved with her and later on pressurized the complainant to hand over the blank cheques and a sum of Rs.1,65,000/-. Petitioner has been involved in the case on the basis of disclosure statement of said Preet Kaur @ Manju Rani and his own confessional statement that a sum of Rs.35,000/- had come to his share.

Perusal of the police file indicates that it was house of the petitioner which has been used by Preet Kaur to entangle Virender and further that the petitioner gave his photograph to the co-accused for obtaining mobile connection which was used by her. He got recovered a sum of Rs. 19,500/- from complainant Virender.

With the assistance of learned State counsel, I have gone through the police file. No doubt, the co-accused of the petitioner, Preet Kaur has been granted the concession of bail being female but taking into consideration the fact that in similar circumstances, the petitioner

has earlier been refused the concession of bail, I do not find any fresh ground for grant of concession of bail to the petitioner in this second application.

It is not out of place to observe here that taking into consideration the sensitivity of case, the chances of tampering with the evidence or threatening the complainant cannot be ruled out at this stage.

The petition is dismissed. However, a direction is issued to the trial Court to conclude the trial expeditiously.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

15.09.2016

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No