

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-22826 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.11.2016

Vikas

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 117 dated 07.04.2015, under Sections 354-A & 354-D IPC, registered at Police Station, Meham, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise dated 15.06.2016 (Annexure P-2).

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Monika-respondent No.2 to the effect that on 07.04.2015, when she was going from old bus stand, Meham in a private bus bearing registration No. HR-46-C-3947 for her duty at SP Office, Rohtak, Vikas-petitioner, who was sitting on the next seat, touched her chest. She requested him to stop, but he did not mend his ways and again manhandled her. On 06.04.2015 also he had done some indecent gestures and used filthy language towards her. In this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of trial, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been compromised between the parties vide compromise deed dated 15.06.2016 (Annexure P-2).

In compliance with the order dated 05.09.2016 passed by this Court, parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate. Report from the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Meham, has been received in this regard. As per report, Monika-respondent No.2 (complainant) made her statement on 15.10.2016 to the effect that with the intervention of respectable persons, she has compromised the matter with accused-Vikas (petitioner) out of her own free will and without any coercion or pressure. She has no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. Separate statement of Vikas-petitioner was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements given by the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 117 dated 07.04.2015, under Sections 354-A & 354-D IPC, registered at Police Station, Meham, District Rohtak, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

22.11.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No