

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24686 of 2013
Date of decision: 18.01.2016

Surinder Baljit Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Navtej Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Arvind Kashyap, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Vinay Kumar, Advocate for the respondent No.1
Mr.Ashish Sanghi, DAG Punjab

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 29.2.2012 (Annexure P2), passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Amritsar, vide which, he was summoned in a complaint case.

It comes out that the said order is revisable order. Therefore, the revision lies before the Sessions Court.

As such, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to first approach the Sessions Court in revision against the impugned order. If revision is filed, keeping in view the pendency of the present petition before this Court, the revisional Court shall decide the same on merits notwithstanding the delay in filing the same.

18.01.2016

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge