

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-22810 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 11TH NOVEMBER, 2016

Manpreet Singh @ Bandri

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Kapil Khanna, Advocate for the petitioner.
 Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.
 Mr. Saurabh Singla, Advocate for the private respondents.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.33 dated 04.04.2016 registered under Sections 324, 323 427, 148 & 149 IPC at Police Station Adampur, Jalandhar Rural, District Jalandhar, in view of compromise Annexure P-2.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent/complainant submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 24.08.2016 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court through District and Sessions Judge,

Jalandhar. Looking to the report it is seen that the compromise is voluntary and genuine. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioner. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-22810 OF 2016 is allowed.
- (ii) The FIR No.33 dated 04.04.2016 registered under Sections 324, 323 427, 148 & 149 IPC at Police Station Adampur, Jalandhar Rural, District Jalandhar, is quashed qua the petitioner along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

11TH NOVEMBER, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No.</i>