

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-22785-2016 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: July 13, 2016**

Son Pal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B.CHAUDHARI**

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr.G.C.Shahpuri, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms.Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

.....

**A.B.CHAUDHARI,J**

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Perused the impugned order.

The material witnesses have been examined by the prosecution. The quality of evidence before the Court was discussed by the trial Judge in the order.

The only circumstance remaining against the petitioner is about he being the custodian of his wife whose

deadbody was found in burnt condition in the house and the petitioner was ill-treating his wife under the influence of liquor by itself does not justify refusal of bail to him.

In that view of the matter, the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is allowed, in case FIR No.464, dated 14.09.2015, Police Station Mujessar, District Faridabad.

Bail to the satisfaction of trial Court.

None of the observations made hereinabove shall come in the way of trial of the case. Sessions Judge shall try the case on its own merits.

**July 13, 2016**  
meenu

**(A.B.CHAUDHARI)**  
**JUDGE**