

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No.21830 of 2015(O&M)

Decided on:-20.05.2016.

Kuldip Kaur @ Kuldeep KaurPetitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr.Naresh Kalia, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Promila Nain, Advocate for respondent No.7.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer made in the instant petition is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents not to act as per the instructions of the private respondents No. 7 to 15 and not to harass the petitioner and her husband.

Learned counsel for the State, while referring to the reply dated 17.09.2015 filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3, by way of affidavit of Ajay Raj Singh, PPS, DSP, Dakha, Distt. Ludhiana (Rural), submits that the petitioner is in habit of filing such like applications to the higher police officers and Punjab State Women Commission against the private respondents and inquiry has been

conducted on the applications of the petitioner for which inquiry report dated 12.09.2015 was submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana which is marked as Annexure R-1/T. As per the inquiry report, the allegations made in the applications were found to be false and, rather, an FIR No.52, dated 12.06.2015 under Sections 279, 427, 323, 324, 506 and 34 IPC has been against the husband of petitioner and others at Police Station, Jodhan.

In view of the reply submitted by State, I find no merit in the instant petition and the same is dismissed.

May 20, 2016
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE