

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 22758 of 2016
Date of decision : August 12, 2016

Rahish

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG Haryana

Mr. JS Saneta, Advocate
for the complainant.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.169, dated 26.5.2016, registered under Sections 406, 420 of the IPC, at Police Station Madhuban, District Karnal.

The allegation is that the sister of the complainant was to be married to the son of the petitioner and for that marriage, the complainant had purchased a Ford Aspire car. However, when the petitioner and his

family came to know, they took an objection that the car was not as per their status and consequently, the match was broken. However, the petitioner managed to obtain the original papers and keys of the car and has not returned the same.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that if, as claimed, the car was purchased by the complainant and when delivery thereof was taken, he would have taken all the papers and there is no explanation as to how the petitioner had got these documents.

Counsel for the complainant, as well as learned DAG Haryana, on instructions from ASI Parven Kumar, state that the petitioner was able to get the documents because the car was in the name of his son.

Be that as it may, without commenting upon the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Resultantly, this petition is allowed and it is directed that in the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Investigating Officer to his satisfaction subject to the compliance of the provisions as contained in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

August 12, 2016

'kk'

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No