

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -22747 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.09.2016

Gurpreet Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.
assisted by HC Manjit Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.237 dated 30.12.2015, registered under Sections 341, 323, 148, 365, 506 read with Section 149 IPC, at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda.

On 12.07.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Contends that the FIR was registered on 30.12.2015, whereas the petitioners were in police custody since 27.12.2015. The petitioner was earlier on bail but subsequently Section 365 IPC has been added.

Notice of motion for 21.09.2016.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A

complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned State counsel, in the Court.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that in pursuance of the order dated 12.07.2016, the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 12.07.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

21.09.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable: Yes No