

269.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-22739-2016**

Date of decision:21.10.2016

Roshan Lal Jindal and others

... Petitioners

versus

State of UT, Chandigarh and another

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

----

Present: Ms. Jyotika, Advocate, for Mr. Harinder Pal Singh Ishar,  
Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Virk, Addl.PP, UT, Chandigarh, for respondent No.1.

None for respondent No.2.

----

**HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)**

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of F.I.R. No.282 dated 19.10.2010 under Sections 323/452/506/34 IPC (Section 325 IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 10.06.2016 (Annexure P-2).

This Court vide order dated 11.07.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 07.10.2016 to the effect that the parties have entered

into compromise voluntarily, without any coercion or pressure from any corner and the same is genuine.

Though today none has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant, namely, Gargi Jindal but no prejudice would be caused to her as she has already made her statement with regard to compromise before learned Magistrate on 22.08.2016. The same is reproduced as under:-

“I have compromised the matter with accused with my own free will and without any coercion, pressure or threat from any quarter. I have no objection if the present FIR no.282 dated 19.10.2010 is quashed.”

Learned counsel for UT, Chandigarh has not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and F.I.R. No.282 dated 19.10.2010 under Sections 323/452/506/34 IPC (Section 325 IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners on the basis of compromise dated 10.06.2016 (Annexure P-2).

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**

21.10.2016  
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.  
Yes/No.