

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M 21849 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 14.03.2016**

Rajwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

M/s Deep Finance Ld.

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Harchand Singh Batth, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Anil Kumar Rana, Advocate for
Mr.Vivek Sethi, Advocate for the respondent

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was summoned in a complaint filed under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. The complainant challenged the said order before this Court. This Court vide order dated 28.5.2012, passed in revision bearing No.15922 of 2012 allowed the petitioner to withdraw the revision with liberty to file a revision before the Sessions Court. However, it comes out that the copy of the order was applied two months later i.e. On 21.7.2012. Therefore, the revision filed before the Sessions Court was dismissed on account of limitation.

I am of the view that there is nothing wrong with the order passed by the Sessions Court. No second revision is maintainable. The petitioner, if so advised, can now raise all the available pleas before the trial Court at an appropriate stage.

The present petition stands dismissed.

14.03.2016

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**