

CRM-M-22713-2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22713-2016

Date of Decision: 19.09.2016

Vikram Jeet @ Vicky

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Satbir Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Addl. A. G. Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.81 dated 01.03.2016, registered at Police Station Sadar Fatehabad, under Sections 395 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

At the time of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record certified copy of statement of complainant-Dharambir from whom truck, currency notes of ₹5200/- and mobile phone have been

CRM-M-22713-2016

taken away.

Perusal of certified copy of statement of complainant-Dharambir as PW 1, recorded before trial Court, shows that he has not identified any of the accused and specifically stated that the accused present in the Court, are not the assailants who snatched the amount and mobile phone alongwith truck from him.

Without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and in view of the facts that the petitioner has been in custody since 24.03.2016; he is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody; the trial of the case will take long time and that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

19.09.2016

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No