

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22650-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.09.2016

Kanwar Pal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: None for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.') for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 5 not to call the petitioner in the office of respondent Nos.4 and 5 at the behest of respondent Nos.6 to 11 and local MLA as no criminal complaint has been received against the petitioner; if the petitioner is required in any case he should be given at least 10 days prior notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C.; and to protect the life, liberty and property of the petitioner from the hands of respondent Nos. 6 to 11 and their other companions.

As per the case of the petitioner, the petitioner got the land of Mandir Thakurdwara Nar Singh Mandir, Village Khelan in auction on theka (contract) from respondent No.12 as Pujari/Mahant of the temple for the

period from June, 2016 to June, 2017. Vide order dated 10.06.2016 (Annexure P-8), passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division) Dera Bassi, respondent Nos.6 to 11 were directed to maintain the status quo qua the land in question.

Reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 5 has been filed in the Court, is taken on record. As per the reply, a number of complaints have been received against the petitioner and in all these complaints, petitioner did not join the inquiry inspite of summons issued to him under Section 160 Cr.P.C.

I have heard the learned State counsel and carefully perused the entire record.

Considering the fact that a number of complaints are pending against the petitioner and he did not turn up to face the inquiries despite of issuance of summons under Section 160 Cr.P.C. and the petitioner has not mentioned any instance where the police or any other person had harassed him, this Court feels that no cause of action has arisen in favour of the petitioner to invoke the inherent jurisdiction of this Court by way of filing the instant petition. Consequently, the instant petition is dismissed.

07.09.2016

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No