

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-22589 of 2016
DECIDED ON: July 08, 2016**

SURJIT KUMAR

.....PETITIONER..

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Jagdish Singh Mahal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to bring the case FIR No. 97, dated 26.08.2015 to its logical conclusion by submitting the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. as accused respondents No. 5 to 8 being influential are threatening the petitioner to withdraw the case and are deliberately delaying the matter and tampering with the prosecution evidence.

2. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 4 only, at this stage.
3. On the asking of Court, Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of respondents-State. Copy of the petition has been supplied to learned State counsel.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though representation dated 16.05.2016 (Annexure P-2) was moved to respondents No.2 and 3 but till date no action has been taken.

5. The instant petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.3- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Gurdaspur, to inquire into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 16.05.2016 (Annexure P-2) and if some substance is found, to take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

July 08, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE