

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.22578 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.08.2016

Manoj

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG, Haryana.

Ajay Tewari, J.(Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.224 dated 28.04.2015 registered under Sections 394, 397 IPC and 25/54/59 of Arms Act at Police Station Palam Vihar District Gurgaon.

The allegations against the petitioner are that the petitioner and the co-accused hit the complainant with the butt of revolver and snatched his chain.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner is in custody for the last 7 months and it is a classic case where the police has tried to foist unsolved cases upon him.

Custody certificate of the petitioner filed in Court today is taken on record. The custody certificate shows that the petitioner has been released in about 22 similar cases on bail. It also shows that 14 cases are pending against the petitioner and out of those 14 cases, in 8 cases the petitioner is on bail.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Rohtash Singh however points out that in this case a test identification parade was conducted where the complainant has identified him. He further

submits that it would be appropriate to put a time-cap on the trial and the prosecution will conclude its entire evidence within four months in case the petitioner does not obstruct the same.

I find this to be a fair submission. In the circumstances, in view of the undertaking given by the learned Deputy Advocate General, it is directed that in case the prosecution does not lead its entire evidence on or before 09.12.2016 (subject to the accused not obstructing the same) the petitioner be released on bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

August 05, 2016
sonia

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No