

CRM-M-22557-2016 and other connected petitions

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 29.08.2016

1. CRM-M-22557-2016

Vishal Jindal		... Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		... Respondent

2. CRM-M-24514-2016

Raman		... Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		... Respondent

3. CRM-M-24945-2016

Gulshan @ Kaka		... Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		... Respondent

4. CRM-M-25329-2016

Satpal @ T.C.		... Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		... Respondent

CRM-M-22557-2016 and other connected petitions

5. CRM-M-26646-2016

Goldy Nagar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

AND

6. CRM-M-27540-2016

Sahil

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Pankaj Midha, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-27540-2016.

Mr. Vikarant Pujara, Advocate,
for the petitioners in remaining petitions.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Addl. A. G. Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-22557-2016, filed by petitioner-Vishal Jindal, CRM-M-24514-2016, filed by petitioner-Raman, CRM-M-24945-2016, filed by petitioner-Gulshan @ Kaka, CRM-M-25329-2016, filed by petitioner-Satpal @ T.C., CRM-M-26646-2016, filed by petitioner-Goldy Nagar and CRM-M-27540-2016, filed by petitioner-Sahil, under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No565

dated 26.05.2016, registered at Police Station Chandni Bagh, Panipat, under

CRM-M-22557-2016 and other connected petitions

Sections 148, 149, 323, 379-B, 452 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued in these cases.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Addl. A. G. Haryana has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, has been registered on the statement of Kuldeep. As per the prosecution, one simple injury has been caused to the injured. Perusal of the FIR mainly shows that a scuffle took place between the parties over some money dispute.

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 08.07.2016 in CRM-M-22557-2016, 22.07.2016 in CRM-M-24514-2016, 25.07.2016 in CRM-M-24945-2016, 27.07.2017 in CRM-M-25329-2016, 04.08.2016 in CRM-M-26646-2016 and 10.08.2016 in CRM-M-27540-2016, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are now not required for custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody, I find merit in these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 08.07.2016 in CRM-M-22557-2016, 22.07.2016 in CRM-M-24514-2016, 25.07.2016 in CRM-M-24945-2016, 27.07.2017 in CRM-M-25329-2016, 04.08.2016 in CRM-M-26646-2016 and 10.08.2016 in CRM-M-27540-2016, granting interim

CRM-M-22557-2016 and other connected petitions

bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

29.08.2016
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No