

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**FAO No.1038 of 1998
Date of Decision: 02.09.2016**

Smt. Tulsa & another	Versus	Appellants
Ram Piara & others		Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sagar Aggarwal, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Lalit Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.3-Insurance Company.

DARSHAN SINGH, J (ORAL)

The appellants were directed to furnish the correct address of respondent No.2 within 15 days, vide order dated 18.01.2016. About more than seven months have passed since then but the correct address of respondent No.2 has not been supplied.

2. Learned counsel for the appellants states that he has not been able to trace out the correct address of respondent No.2 and is not in a position to comply with the order of the Court.

3. As the appellants are not complying with the direction of the Court to supply the correct address of respondent No.2, the present appeal is hereby dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to move the application for restoration of the appeal along with the correct address of respondent No.2 in the shape of affidavit of the appellants.

02.09.2016
Vinay

**[DARSHAN SINGH]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
---------------------------	--------

Whether reportable	Yes/No
--------------------	--------