

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-22545 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.09.2016

Jaskaran Singh @ Karan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Lovedeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 61 dated 05.04.2016, registered under Sections 379-B, 411 read with Section 34 IPC, at Police Station Chheharta, Amrtisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. The similarly situated co-accused, namely Damanpreet Singh, has already been admitted to bail by this Court, vide order dated 19.05.2016. The petitioner is in custody since 12.04.2016

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that three mobile phones and one LED

were recovered from the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 12.04.2016 and similarly situated co-accused, namely Damanpreet Singh, has already been enlarged on bail; out of total 24 PWs, none has been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without advertng to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on her furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

07.09.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No