

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(209)

CRM-M-22493-2016

Date of Decision:-02.09.2016

Ranjit Singh and others

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present : Mr. Vivek Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioners.
Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl.A.G. Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):-

In FIR No.46 dated 02.06.2016, registered under Sections 323, 324 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, (Section 326 added subsequently) at Police Station Bholath, District Kapurthala, petitioners seek anticipatory bail apprehending arrest.

This Court had made interim order dated July 08, 2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Pursuant to the said order petitioners have joined investigation as stated by learned counsel for the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the complainant-Mr. Baldev Singh Bhinder has opposed the application on the ground that there was a fracture on the head which is seen from the certificate.

I have seen the certificate indeed there is fracture to the skull, but then, there is glaring consistency in the description of the weapon.

In that view of the matter, prima facie, I think the petitioners would be entitled to anticipatory bail. In the result, interim order dated July 08, 2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

Disposed of.

September 02, 2016

Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned**Yes/No****Whether reportable****Yes/No**

Pankaj Kakkar
2016.09.03 11:47
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document