

209            **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-22455 of 2016  
DECIDED ON: JULY 12, 2016**

**PAWAN @ MUNNA**

**....PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF HARYANA**

**....RESPONDENT**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH**

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Manish Soni, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)**

Through this petition preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No. 1272 dated October 04, 2015 under Sections 147, 148, 149, 307 and 120-B IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act registered at Police Station City, Gurgaon, District Gurgaon.

2. The instant FIR was got registered on the statement of Manish Khurana on the allegations that on 04.10.2015 at about 9.15 AM, his neighbour Pankaj Bagia @ Golu came to his house while screaming and shouting and informed him that some boys were firing bullet shots on him, on which, he

peeped out from the window of his room. However, suddenly five-six boys started firing bullet shots at him, due to which one bullet hit on his head. They all were trying to enter into his house on which complainant also fired bullet shot from his licenced gun but thereafter, he fell down unconsciously. He further stated that these are the same persons who abducted him 20/25 days ago in the intervening night of 8/9 of the same month, they are in conspiracy with Binder Gurjar Councillor and this attack was also executed by said Binder Gurjar. On this above-said FIR was registered.

3. Though after the arrest of petitioner, he was subjected to custodial interrogation but no weapon alleged to have been used in the commission of offence has been recovered. Investigation is complete and challan has already been presented in the Court, which is pending disposal. Similarly, no specific injury has been attributed to the present petitioner. The trial is not likely to be concluded in near future. Rather it would take sufficient long time.

4. Taking into consideration all the above-stated aspects but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail at the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Gurgaon.

**JULY 12, 2016**  
**sham**

**(JASPAL SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**