

213

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22452 of 2016

Date of decision: August 03, 2016

Shiv Kanwal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

Mr. Hitesh Kaplish, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

This Court had made an interim order dated 08.07.2016
granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the FIR in question.

Pursuant to the above said order, learned State counsel states
that the petitioner has furnished the bail bonds before the JMIC, Phagwara,
District Kapurthala. She further states that the petitioner has joined
investigation and has also furnished the bail bonds as stated above.

Learned counsel for the complainant states that the petitioner
had harassed the complainant party for no justifiable reasons. He further
states that the complainant would be satisfied if the petitioner joins the trial
and faces charge(s) against him.

Having heard learned counsel the rival parties and looking to
the nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am inclined to make the

interim order dated 08.07.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner absolute.

In that view of the matter, the interim order dated 08.07.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute. Rule accordingly.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

August 03, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No