

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 22394 of 2016
Date of decision : July 25, 2016

Gurminder Singh and another,

..... Petitioners

v.

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Manbir Singh Basra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl. AG Punjab

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioners seek grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR
No.96, dated 21.10.2015, registered under Sections 323, 324, 326, 506,
148, 149, 326 of the IPC, at Police Station Ghoman, District Gurdaspur.

On 8.7.2016, the following contentions were noticed :-

“ Petitioners No.1 and 2 have been attributed
grievous injury with 'datar' on the left leg and left side
of head of Jagdip Singh complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
it is a case of version and cross version. Petitioner
No.1 has received three injuries on his person. An

injury on the left forearm which is partial cut of cortex of ulna, has been declared to be grievous.

Today, learned Addl. AG Punjab has strongly opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner No.2.

Faced with this situation, counsel for the petitioners states that he would not press this petition qua petitioner No.2. He further states that petitioner No.2 would surrender before the trial Court and move an application for regular bail and a direction be issued to the Court to decide the same expeditiously.

I find this to be a fair request. Consequently, this petition qua petitioner No.2 is dismissed. In case, petitioner No.2 surrenders before the Court on or before 29.7.2016 and moves an application for regular bail, the trial Court shall decide the same on merits within a week of the filing thereof.

As regards petitioner No.1, injury attributed to him is on a non-vital part.

Learned Addl. AG Punjab has accepted this and states that this is a case of cross version. In the circumstances, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to petitioner No.1.

Petitioner No.1 is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 3.8.2016 or on any other day on which the Investigating Officer requires

CRM-M No. 22394 of 2016

::3::

his presence, and in the event of his arrest, petitioner No.1 shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Investigating Officer to his satisfaction subject to the compliance of the provisions as contained in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of qua petitioner No.1.

July 25, 2016
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No