

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc.No.M-22385 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 17th October, 2016**

Krishan Kumar and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. R.S.Banku, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent(s)-State.

Mr. Sant Lal Barwala, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.163 dated 01.05.2016, registered under Sections 147, 149, 323, 365, 506 IPC, at Police Station Sadar Hansi, District Hisar, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise between the parties.

On 27.07.2016, the following order was passed:-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of F.I.R. No.163 dated 01.05.2016 registered under Sections 147, 149, 323, 365, 506 IPC, at P.S. Sadar Hansi, District Hisar and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise effected between the parties, which is annexed as Annexure P-2

Criminal Misc.No.M-22385 of 2016 (O&M)

with the petition.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Satish Saini, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1.

Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to supply a copy of the petition to the learned D.A.G. during the course of the day.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further undertakes to serve the respondent No.2 by way of dasti process. May do so. Dasti only.

To come up on 17.10.2016. Meanwhile, the parties are directed to be present before the Illaqa Magistrate on 19.08.2016 or on any other date convenient to the Court for recording their statements with regard to compromise. The Court is directed to record the statements of both the parties to its satisfaction to know its genuineness that the statements are not the result of any pressure or coercion in any manner. The Court is further directed to send report along with the statements of the parties with regard to validity or otherwise of the compromise effected between the parties and also intimate whether any criminal case and proclamation proceedings is pending against either of the parties or not before the next date of hearing.”

Thereafter, the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hansi dated 22.08.2016 has been received. In the report, he has mentioned that the aforesaid parties have appeared before him and has attested to the fact that a compromise has indeed taken place and that the compromise has been executed voluntarily and without any pressure.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another reported as 2012(4) RCR(Criminal) 543** has discussed in detail the inherent powers of High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding

Criminal Misc.No.M-22385 of 2016 (O&M)

or FIR or complaint where the parties have entered into compromise except the cases which involve offences such as murder, rape dacoity etc. as such offences are not private in nature and have serious impact on society.

In view of the above judicial pronouncement, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

Consequently, this petition is allowed and the above said FIR and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua petitioners.

17th October, 2016

mamta

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No