

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-22349 of 2016

Date of decision : 05.09.2016

Vishal

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana & Anr.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

**Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for petitioner.**

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG Haryana.

**Mr. B.S. Sewak, Advocate
for the complainant.**

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

Vishal, the petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No. 101 dated 14.06.2016, registered at Women Police Station, Sector 51, Gurgaon, under Sections 406, 498-A and 506 read with Section 34 IPC

Learned counsel submits that pursuant to order dated 08.07.2016 the petitioner has joined the investigation and handed whatever articles are with him. He further submits that earlier the complainant had given a complaint at Hisar and a compromise was effected and now a complaint has been filed at Gurgaon.

Learned State counsel submits that all the household articles have been handed over by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the complainant states that jewellery items had been given. He further submits that vehicle was in the name of petitioner side and though vehicle had been returned, but NoC has not been

given by the petitioner.

Learned State counsel submits that no photograph of jewellery was provided by the complainant

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that they have no objection in giving the NoC for transfer of the vehicle.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 08.07.2016 is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner will hand over the NoC in respect of the vehicle to the complainant within a fortnight. The issue of return of jewellery can be raised by the complainant before the trial Court supporting it with evidence.

September 05,2016
Jiten

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/ No

Whether reportable

Yes/ No