

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21431-2014

Date of Decision: February 11, 2016

Amit Dadwal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate,
for Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Ghuman, Addl. AG, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J. (Oral)

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioner states at the bar that he has instructions to plead that the private parties have sorted out their dispute and effected a compromise, therefore, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition.

Learned counsel for the State has no objection to the above prayer.

Ordered accordingly.

**(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE**

February 11, 2016
Pkapoor