

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22296-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 09.09.2016

Amandeep @ Rimpi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ravi K. Matoo, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by HC Paras Nath.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.250 dated 20.12.2015, registered under Sections 308, 364 and 148 read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Civil Lines, Patiala.

Contents that a scuffle took place between the students. The petitioner has been attributed simple injury on the non-vital part. The petitioner is a young boy of 20 years and not involved in any other case.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the instant petition. She states that the challan already stands presented but the charges are yet to be framed.

Heard.

All the injuries suffered by the injured were found to be simple in nature. The petitioner is a young boy of 20 years and not involved in any

ATUL SETHI
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

other case. The challan is though presented, but the charges are yet to be framed, therefore, it can safely be inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future. He is in custody since 20.12.2015.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

However, anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

09.09.2016

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)

JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No