

**Sr. No. 101
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-22260 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.10.2016**

Tulsi Ram

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.Singla, AAG, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C. seeking cancellation of concession of pre-arrest bail that was granted to the accused/respondent No.2 herein, namely, Rishi Pal vide order dated 28.04.2016 while disposing of CRM No.M-4472 of 2016.

The sole contention raised by the counsel appearing for the petitioner/complainant Tulsi Ram is that after the grant of benefit of pre-arrest bail, the accused has intimidated the family members of the complainant and as such interfered in the process of a fair and free investigation.

In support of such assertion, counsel would advert to representations placed on record at Annexures P-17 and P-18.

Perusal of such representations would clearly show that the averments are utterly vague in nature. There are no details forthcoming as regards the precise incident or date on which any such incident occurred and

in which the accused had threatened family members of the complainant.

It would be apposite to take note of a relevant fact that investigation in the case has already been completed and even the challan stands presented.

Under such circumstances, no ground for cancellation of bail is made out.

Petition is dismissed.

20.10.2016

vandana

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

No

Whether Reportable

No