

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22207 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27.09.2016

Lachhman Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate for
Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by Manoj Kumar.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.623, dated 25.09.2015, registered under Sections 419 and 420 IPC, at Police Station City Palwal, District Palwal.

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that as per FSL report, the thumb impressions on application form are ink smudged and the same are not comparable, therefore, it cannot be said that the petitioner had impersonated to get the job of JBT teacher.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the

bail application

Heard.

Admittedly, as per FSL report, fingerprints on OMR sheet and on application form are ink smudged, however, it has also come in the report that the thumb prints were different from left and right thumb prints of the petitioner on his sample paper dated 08.02.2013, having different type of pattern of the case prints (loop). Keeping in view the allegations against the petitioner, this Court feels that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary in the matter.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

27.09.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No