

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -22202 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.09.2016

Deenu and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. K.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.
assisted by ASI Bhupinder Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.270 dated 18.05.2016, registered under Sections 325, 323, 341, 326 and 506 IPC, at Police Station Nuh, District Mewat.

On 17.08.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that no specific injury is attributed to the petitioners. Injury No.2 on the person of the injured which is described as grievous in nature, is attributed to co-accused Sahabudin.

In the circumstances, the petitioners are are directed to join the investigation.

Post again on 29.09.2016.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That they shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 17.08.2016, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 17.08.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

29.09.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable: Yes No