

**229 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-22184 of 2016 (O&M)
Decided on: 01.08.2016.

Bittu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Sunil Saharan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Vijay Kumar.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No. 925 dated 23.10.2015, registered under Sections 307, 323, 324, 147 and 148 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Civil Lines Hisar, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner has been shown to be empty handed. No recovery was effected from the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 18.04.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits that the presence of the petitioner is fully established. He actually participated in the occurrence.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the case file.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner was stated to be empty handed and no specific injury has been attributed to him, the challan stands presented, out of 14 prosecution witnesses, none has so far been examined, therefore, it can safely be inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future, no purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further incarceration. Accordingly, without advert to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

01.08.2016.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No