

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22162 of 2016 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: July 04, 2016

GURBACHAN SINGH

.....PETITIONER..

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

CRM-19752-2016

Allowed as prayed for.

Main case

Instant petition has been preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to register the FIR against respondents No. 4 and 5 for the commission of appropriate offences.

2. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only, at this stage.

3. On the asking of Court, Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of respondents-State. Copy of the petition has been supplied to

learned State counsel.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though a representation/complaint dated 11.04.2016 was moved to Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, which has been recommended to be consigned to the records by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rampura Phul vide inquiry report dated 04.05.2016 observing that the matter involved is of civil nature.

5. The instant petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, District Bathinda, to get a fresh inquiry conducted into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his complaint/representation dated 11.04.2016 (Annexure P-3) without being influenced with regard to the pendency of civil litigation and if some substance is found, to take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

July 04, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE