

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22130 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.08.2016

Seema and another

...Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. C. L. Pawar, Advocate
for the petitioner (s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by SI Dalbir Singh.

Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in a case FIR No.108, dated 21.05.2016, registered under Sections 323, 324 and 341 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'the IPC') read with Section 34 IPC and Section 307 IPC (added later on), at Police Station Salem Tabri, District Ludhiana.

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that there is delay of 14 days in lodging the present FIR as the alleged occurrence took place on 07.05.2016. The injury attracting Section 307 IPC is attributed to co-

accused, namely, Vishal, whose bail petition has been dismissed. The learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the order dated 04.07.2016, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that though in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation but whereabouts of the co-accused, Karan has not been furnished.

Heard.

Considering the facts that no injury is attributed to the petitioners and they have joined the investigation, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 04.07.2016, is made absolute, subject to the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed.

19.08.2016

ashok

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No