

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22069-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: July 11, 2016.

Mohit Virdi

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Rishu Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Shri Balraj Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the complainant.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard Learned Counsel for the rival parties. The complainant who was assaulted by means of a sword is represented by Advocate and he is present in person. He is identified by the Advocate.

Learned Counsel for the parties state that they have no objection for compounding or compromising the FIR No.13 dated 5.2.2015, under Sections 324, 148, 149 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on). Looking to the nature of the matter and reading the terms of the compromise, I think the parties should be allowed to compound.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. The

petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the FIR in question, on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety in the like amount.

July 11, 2016.
kadyan

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge