

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-22054 of 2016
Date of decision : July 01, 2016**

Shikha Devi and another

... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Both the petitioners in person with
Mr. Saravpreet Singh Gurna, Advocate.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner No.1 has not filed any proof of age. She says that she is major.

Shikha Devi and Rahul, the petitioners before me, claim themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have married against the wishes of respondent No. 4 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondent No. 4. They have placed on record photographs and marriage certificate, Annexures P-3 and P-4 , respectively. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 29.06.2016, Annexure P-5 to respondent No.2.

Without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with directions to respondent No. 2 to decide the representation of the petitioners, Annexure P-5 within a period of one month and grant the protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law if any committed by them.

**July 01, 2016
poonam**

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**